

## **DRAFT NOTES FOR CORRECTION/AMENDMENT**

### **CDALC Meeting on 25<sup>th</sup> July 2016**

We listened to a short talk by Andrew Frost (Head of Planning Services at CDC) on:

- 1 Recent changes to Permitted Development – this is outside CLP policy and applies universally across England. All applications for permitted development are where “prior approval” of the Planning Authority is needed. It concerns a change of use of disused agricultural buildings to dwellinghouses (Class Q) but it does not apply inside the ANOB. Secondly a change of use of disused agricultural buildings to a flexible commercial use (Class R). This does apply inside an AONB but not to Listed Buildings. Thirdly a change of use of disused agricultural buildings to state funded school or registered nursery (Class S). This does apply inside an AONB but not to Listed Buildings. Prior approval will not automatically be sent to Parish Clerks in the same way as planning applications. Carol Smith has now registered with Mrs Sam Carter at CDC admin to receive them, even though the likelihood of them is lower in the AONB.
- 2 Timeframes for consultations with parishes on planning applications – the 21 day rule is settled. It starts the day the application is registered. Three responses are to be encouraged: Object – Don’t object – or support

We then listened to Keith Martin make his report on behalf of the Harbour Conservancy.

### **Manhood Peninsula Forum meeting on 5<sup>th</sup> Sept 2016**

Although the meeting was held at Itchenor on this occasion and chaired by Alastair Spencer, it was run by Graeme Barrett. Topics covered:

- 1 We listened to a talk on Fuel Poverty by Emma Pagett the Home Energy adviser from Arun Wellbeing which is shared with CDC. Grants are available to those earning under £20,000pa and with savings of under £16,000 to provide improved heating for those without or with inadequate Central Heating.
- 2 Graeme Barrett then ran the rest of the evening which was designed for the Forum members to listen to each parish response to the A27 Consultation. The previous Forum meeting on 6<sup>th</sup> June had included a presentation from Better 4Chichester, a pressure group seeking the reinstatement of the discarded northern bypass option. The minutes of that 6<sup>th</sup> June meeting were presented to Forum members (including all WIPC Parish Councillors) about a week ago but there was no report on this at our last WIPC meeting on 11<sup>th</sup> July. The pressure group had obviously made a big impression with the majority of parishes in favour of voting or expecting to vote “no option” in the hope of reviving the northern route. Because both Alastair and I were engaged in the debate it was not possible for us to take detailed notes but the minutes will be circulated when they are produced. WIPC produced the following position statement, below, which was read:

#### *Itchenor report:*

*All the reports on the HE A27 Bypass improvement Scheme were published in 14<sup>th</sup> July on the website. Our Chairman forwarded all the material on the website to parish councillors and produced A3 copies of all 5 maps showing the selected options. Members of the parish council had seen those reports showing the 5 options including the Economic Assessment, Traffic Forecasting assessment and FAQ’s etc. The Parish Council then met on 21<sup>st</sup> July to listen to villagers and parish councillor’s views on the HE Consultation papers. All those present spoke in favour of Option 2.*

*However before reaching a decision, we wanted to attend the public exhibitions which began on 25<sup>th</sup> July and to canvas further views in the village and only then to hold a second Parish Council meeting in order to make our final decision and submission to HE. As part of that process of seeking village views, we held a residents meeting attended by 90+ villagers on 25<sup>th</sup> August.*

*At the start of that meeting we explained (from material on the HE website) that HE are using the Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects procedure created under the Planning Act 2008 which enables a planning decision to be reached by the date in 2018 that meets the Treasury funding requirement for this project.*

*That meeting indicated support for Option 2 with a limited voice hoping to bring back the northern route.*

*We will be reaching our final view at our parish council meeting on 12<sup>th</sup> Sept.*